



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22314-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

09/862,845

05/21/2001

Kehych Szutu

SZUTU-2K03

8377

7590

11/03/2006

Bo-In Lin
13442 Mandoli Drive
Los Altos Hills, CA 94022

EXAMINER

ALI, MOHAMMAD

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2166

DATE MAILED: 11/03/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Advisory Action
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief**

Application No.

09/862,845

Applicant(s)

SZUTU, KEHYEH

Examiner

Mohammad Ali

Art Unit

2166

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 17 October 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

1. ☒ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:

- a) ☒ The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
- b) ☐ The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
- Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

NOTICE OF APPEAL

2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

AMENDMENTS

3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because
- (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
- (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
- (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
- (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: _____. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).


4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____.
6. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
7. ☒ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ☒ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
- The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
- Claim(s) allowed: _____.
- Claim(s) objected to: _____.
- Claim(s) rejected: 1-25.
- Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____.

AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
9. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing of a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: _____.
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). _____
13. ☒ Other: See Continuation Sheet.


Mohammad Ali
Primary Examiner
Art Unit: 2166

Continuation of 13. Other: "The telephone number or the numeric input data included as a sub-field of an URL identifying the website linked to the map server" and Drury teaches this limitation as, onboard computer provides digital information, such as dialing information or data for transmission to the server system, to cellular phone module and accepts digital information from cellular phone module, such as map-related data. Cellular phone module also accepts and provides audio signals, typically speech signals, for telephone communication with the server system (see col. 42, lines 16-24 Drury). Version of the system makes use of a combination of autonomous and client/server operation. A hybrid in-vehicle system includes an on-board computer coupled to a remote server system through a communication system over a wireless communication link of a cellular telephone system. The server system Prior art of records teaches all the limitations as stated in the previous office action. Record of the claim 24 should be cleared. makes use of map information provided by map provider 160 (see col. 43, lines 54-62, Drury). "To a method and system to get map through map request sent through Internet Protocols with sub-field providing the telephone number" and Drury teaches this limitation as, the invention is a navigation system. The system includes a printed map illustrating a geographic area, including a representation of a roadway network in the geographic area. The map includes annotations, such as coordinates or codes, identifying geographic features, such as points of interest or road segments, in the geographic area. The system also includes an input device, such as a keypad on a telephone device, for accepting an annotation from the printed map identifying a chosen geographic feature, an onboard computer for receiving the accepted annotation and providing a planned route to the chosen geographic feature through the roadway network, and an output device, such as the display on a telephone handset, for presenting the planned route information. This system has an advantage of allowing simplified user input by having the user determine short encodings of locations from the printed map. Also, simplified output can also refer to the annotations on the printed map, thereby allowing use of a limited output device (see col. 3, lines 19- 37 et seq). Drury teaches the limitation "methods and system are related to map retrieval for a destination location" as, the server map database and the in-vehicle map database from the same map information consistency between the in-vehicle and the server data is guaranteed. Navigation application makes use of a yellow pages database that it uses to convert the telephone number of a desired destination to a street address in a "reverse" number lookup, see col. 18, lines 1-7, Drury. Drury teaches the limitations "the destination location is a fixed location associate with a telephone number or coded number" as, an operator specify a destination by specifying the telephone number of the destination. The sever system receives the telephone number and looks in up in a "reverse" telephone directory to determine the street address of the destination, see col. 24, lines 17-25, Drury. Combination of reference teaches applicants' invention. Since Drury teaches above limitations as described above the combination of references does disclose the claimed invention. The Examiner respectfully submits that Drury does not explicitly indicate the claimed "normalized telephone number". But Yacoby's systems overcome such kinds of deficiency by teaching, upon receipt of the formatted (normalized) telephone number the parsing server would executes a PERL substitution command to deformat the telephone number to produce an unformatted telephone number, see col. 5, lines 64-67 and col. 15, lines 47-55, Yacoby. It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the data processing art, at the time of the present invention, to combine the teachings of the cited references. The teachings of Yacoby's normalized telephone number would have allowed Drury's system to reduce likelihood of an error or failure and multiple telephone numbers being mapped to the same Web site, as suggested by Yacoby at col. 4, lines 3-5. Further, normalized telephone number as taught by Yacoby improves a fast, efficient and simple method to take an Internet user from a telephone number to a Web page and the Web page can be any page at a Web site (see col. 3, lines 67 to col. 4, lines 3, Yacoby). Drury does not explicitly indicate the claimed "partial telephone number". But Yacoby's systems overcome such kinds of deficiency by teaching, the Internet user interacts with the web page server where the user's interaction includes at least part of a telephone number and executed a query of the directory database to yield a query result comprising a registrant web site page corresponding to the user interaction, see col. 3, lines 46-52, Yacoby. It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the data processing art, at the time of the present invention, to combined the teachings of the cited references. The teachings of Yacoby's partial telephone number would have allowed Drury's system to establish a directory database server with a directory database comprised of registrant telephone numbers and associated therewith registrant web pages, as suggested by Yacoby at col. 4, lines 3-5. Further, Partial telephone number as taught by Yacoby improves traffic generated by a telephone number to web page server to build an audience for advertisements on the net (see col. 3, lines 30-31, Yacoby). Status of claim 24 should rectify.